RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Simulation-based mastery improves nursing skills in BSc nursing students: a quasi-experimental study



Roghayeh Mehdipour –Rabori^{1,2}, Behnaz Bagherian^{1,2} and Monirsadat Nematollahi^{1,3*}

Abstract

Background: Clinical education is an essential part of nursing education. Selected clinical teaching methods influence the quality of education. Simulation-based mastery learning has been used to improve clinical skills among nursing students and may provide a novel way to enhance nursing skills. This study aimed to assess the effect of simulation-based mastery learning on the clinical skills of undergraduate nursing students from 2017 to 2019.

Methods: This quasi-experimental study was conducted with two groups (the control and intervention). A hundred and five students were selected by random convenience sampling, and written consent was obtained. The intervention group participated in a simulation-based mastery learning intervention, and the control group received no intervention except for traditional training. The students of both groups completed the demographic information questionnaire and the checklist before and after the intervention. The results were analyzed by SPSS version 21 and descriptive and inferential statistics.

Results: The results showed no significant differences between the two groups before the intervention (p> 0.05). In addition, students' performance in the intervention and control groups improved significantly at the post-test compared with the baseline (p< 0.05). Furthermore, the Cohen test implied that the simulation-based mastery model used by the intervention group was significantly more effective than the traditional training used by the control

Conclusion: These findings showed that mastery learning was more effective in improving clinical skills in undergraduate nursing students. The results suggest that other nursing and health programs can be developed by implementing a mastery-based learning model.

Keywords: Clinical education, Nursing students, Simulation-based mastery model, Clinical skills

³Department of pediatrics and neonatal intensive nursing, Razi Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: m.nematolahi@kmu.ac.ir

¹Nursing Research Center, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

Introduction

Clinical education is an essential part of nursing and midwifery education [1]. Nursing educators try to create professional learning behaviors in nursing students and respond appropriately to specific clinical situations [2]. There is a trend in nursing education to adopt competency-based education (CBE) models. Anima and McCoy define competency as acquiring integrated knowledge, skills, values, and attitudes required for a competent nurse [3]. In addition, the development of nursing students with professional competence is one of the aims of nursing education [4]. Mastery is one of the competency-based models.

Mastery models differ from traditional curricula in defining progression as achieving a series of competencies [5]. Educators make a valuable contribution to the learning process by creating competency-based models that can enhance training [6]. In conclusion, students acquire the ability to do clinical skills [7].

Mastery is a new applied method for training students in the medical sciences, and it is one of the individual learning styles [8]. It originates from Carroll's belief that if sufficient time is given to the full extent of education, the right education level will be achieved [9]. Mastery learning features frequent formative assessments to provide feedback and evaluate whether students have mastered an instructional standard [5]. However, some studies indicated that it was time-consuming [10] and caused student anxiety because of frequent evaluations [11]. Furthermore, Salvin showed that mastery learning had virtually no effect on student achievement. Still, it was necessary to be assessed by scholars and practitioners well-equipped in mastery learning until questionable aspects of this method were elucidated [12].

Şenel Elaldı found that the mastery learning model enhanced students' understanding of what they have learned, and it was an opportunity for students' development of learning [13]. Mastery models engage learners in contemplative practice by increasing the difficulty of repetitive tasks while providing coaching to guide their progress [14]. Furthermore, this method makes students more involved in the teaching-learning process [15]. For students failing to attain mastery, the assessment provides a diagnostic tool to develop an individualized learning plan to guide corrective action and to address deficiencies. Students who initially fail to demonstrate this skill have more opportunities (According to the curriculum) to take and pass the course [16]. Using a mastery model offers the potential for greater accountability, flexibility, and focus on learning process [17].

The advantages of this method are that students perform clinical skills correctly and fulfill professional responsibilities in the future [18]. Wayne et al. showed that mastery learning influenced CPR skills [19].

Frogameni et al. also indicated that symbolic mastery learning was an effective strategy in training residents to manage mechanical ventilators. Relying on traditional teaching methods in ICU may leave residents ill-equipped to handle patients receiving mechanical ventilation [20] safely. Cohen showed that the mastery learning method led to the acquisition of nasogastric tube skills in nursing students [21]. Based on the findings of a study, the mastery learning method is useful in nursing education [22]. In addition, Simulation-Based Mastery Learning improved central line maintenance skills of ICU nurses [23]. However, Roh showed that the mastery learning method did not significantly affect knowledge, self-efficacy scores, and the number of errors related to cardiopulmonary resuscitation skills in nursing students [24].

However, nursing students must combine knowledge from sociobiological and nursing sciences to make clinical decisions and manage different situations in the clinical settings [25]. Moreover, as the largest group of caregivers who deal directly with the patients, nurses can provide high-quality care through mastery of nursing skills [24].

However, there is little in the nursing literature about mastery model-based programs. Most Iranian nursing educators apply traditional learning-teaching methods, which are subject-centered, time-based with summative evaluation, and little feedback. They do not use the mastery method to train skills. Furthermore, Iranian educators do not have much information about mastery models. Regarding the benefits of the method mentioned above, the research team decided to assess the effects of simulation-based mastery on the clinical skills of B.Sc. nursing students.

Methods

Research design and setting

This quasi-experimental study was conducted with a pretest-posttest two-group design in the nursing department of Kerman University of Medical Science in Iran from 2017 to 2019. The Kerman University of Medical Science is the most prominent in the Southeast of Iran. The university provides education for undergraduate, postgraduate, and Ph.D. nursing students.

Sampling method

Students were selected using convenience sampling and were then randomly divided into control and intervention groups by numerical table.

The inclusion criteria included the seventh- and eighth-semester nursing students who were not educated with the simulation-based mastery method previously. In addition, students had to pass the theoretical and practical courses such as courses in medicine, surgery,

pediatric nursing, community health nursing, intensive care, and psychology.

In this study, the research population consisted of 115 eligible BSc students who met the inclusion criteria. Ten participants were excluded because of absence from training sessions.

In the nursing department of KMU, all nursing students had to pass prerequisite courses before taking the internship course, including theoretical and practical courses. The theoretical course includes the workshop on patient communication, nosocomial infections, nursing ethics, and a practical course includes common nursing skills trained in proficiency workshop, where advanced special moulage and other equipment are available for nurse students to practice the special nursing skills.

Students will take the practical course after completing the workshops. In addition, taking the internship course depends on passing (80% of the checklist) the clinical exam. All students of the nursing department had to take the same curriculum.

The research team selected nursing skills commonly used in nursing and agreed on the following four practical skills: suction, nasogastric tube feeding, packed cell transfusion, change of fluid box.

First, the study goals were explained to the participants. The students participated in the study with full consent and agreement. They were explained that attending or not participating in the study would not affect their educational process. The instructors in the two groups completed the demographic characteristic questionnaire and checklist skills before the intervention, and common nursing skills were assessed in two groups by a checklist.

Intervention group procedure

The intervention began on the second day of the course. The intervention group members experienced a simulation-based mastery intervention in four common clinical skills (suction, nasogastric tube feeding, packed cell transfusion, change of fluid box).

First, the instructor performed each skill on the advanced moulage in the proficiency workshop. Then, the students practiced these skills and were assessed by the instructor, who could identify whether they learned the skill or not (diagnostic feedback) and what they needed to learn better (prescriptive feedback).

A list of student's mistakes was provided for the relevant instructor and student. The instructors set specific goals for each student based on the deficiencies identified in the first stage. In this program, the instructor used supervisory and observational methods. The instructor observed students and completed checklists every day. The instructor re-evaluated the students

through a checklist and re-identified some deficiencies listed in the checklist daily for 12 days (2 days a week). In addition, students, who initially fail to demonstrate the skill, have three more opportunities to pass the course. At the end of the course, the clinical skill scores were checked.

To determine the observer's accurate judgment on the examination based on the checklist, two observers assessed the inter-rater reliability of the assessors' scoring for each of the skills. A single-blind method was used so that the students involved in this study were not informed of the type of teaching methods and how they were placed in each group. In this study, the intervention group experienced a simulated mastery learning method.

Control group procedure

Common nursing skills were trained to the control group students in a proficiency workshop during two sessions a week for 6 weeks.

The routine teaching method was as follows: the students were divided into groups of three individuals, and clinical skills were performed on the advanced moulage under the instructor's supervision. In case of any question or mistake, the instructor, a facilitator, would address it. In this method, the instructor taught students, according to time-based and summative evaluation with little feedback.

The demographic information questionnaire and the checklist were used in this study to collect the data.

Instruments

The demographic questionnaire contained information about age, sex, scale median, passed credits, and grade point average last semester.

The researchers used four nursing skill checklists for both groups (control and intervention). The checklists were extracted from a nursing book: Skill checklists for Tylor's clinical nursing skills [26]. The suction checklist, the nasogastric tube feeding checklist, the packed cell transfusion checklist, and the change of fluid box checklist contain 19, 19, 13, and 21 items, respectively. Each item on the checklists is rated using three scales: unsatisfactory (score: 0), satisfactory (score: 1), and excellent (score: 2). The suction, nasogastric tube feeding, packed cell transfusion, change of fluid box checklists were scored 0–38, 0–38, 0–26, and 0–42, respectively. The total score ranges from 0 to 144.

The content validity of the checklists was confirmed by the broad consensus, and their reliability was 0.82 by using a pilot study and the Cronbach's alpha coefficient showing good reliability. In addition, medical-surgical nurses, pediatric nurses, and intensive care nurses in Kerman have attempted for 5 months to prepare and select nursing skill checklists.

Data analysis

The collected data were analyzed using descriptive (frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation) and inferential statistics. According to the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test results, the data of this study had a normal distribution. Thus, parametric tests were used. Furthermore, independent t-test was employed to compare the mean scores of skills between the intervention and control groups before and after the intervention. The paired samples t-test was also used to compare the mean scores of skills in each group before and after the intervention. *P*-values were considered statistically significant.

Results

The participants in this study were 105 BSc nursing students of Kerman University of medical science. The participants were divided into two groups of intervention (N=53) and control (N=52). Students' mean ages in the intervention, and the control groups were 23.88 \pm 2.06 and 23.38 \pm 1.78, respectively.

Most of the participants were female (38 individuals in the intervention group and 39 individuals in the control group). A majority of the participants were native (43 individuals in the intervention group and 42 individuals in the control group); most of them had no history of diseases and took good grade point averages in the last semester. No significant difference was found between the control and intervention groups in their demographic data (Table 1).

The total mean scores of the participants' clinical skills in the intervention group were 101.6 ± 3.69 and 141.6 ± 3.13 before and after the intervention, respectively. In addition, the total mean scores of clinical skills in the control group were 88.17 ± 6.11 and 109.36 ± 4.71 , respectively. Independent samples t-test showed a

statistically significant difference between the two groups after the intervention (P < 0.05). The Cohen test also showed a statistically significant difference between them after the intervention (d=5.6).

In addition, the results of this study showed that the mean score of each of the clinical skills was not statistically significant between the control and the intervention groups before the intervention. However, a statistically significant difference was found between them after the intervention (Table 2).

The mean suction scores of the intervention group participants were 26.46 ± 1.51 and 37.20 ± 0.95 before and after the intervention, respectively. The mean scores of suction in the control group were 24.9 ± 1.11 and 27.85 ± 1.30 , respectively. Independent samples t-test showed a statistically significant difference between the two groups after the intervention (P < 0.05).

By comparison, the mean scores of ng tube feeding in the intervention group were statistically significant before (23.46 ± 1.79) and after the intervention (37.41 ± 0.49) (p < 0.05).

Furthermore, the results showed that the mean naso-gastric tube feeding scores in the control group were 23.85 ± 1.30 and 28.075 ± 1.5 before and after the intervention, respectively. Independent samples t-test indicated a statistically significant difference between the two groups after the intervention (P < 0.05).

The mean Pack cell Transfusion scores of the intervention group participants were 17 ± 1.33 and 25.52 ± 1.05 before and after the intervention, respectively. The mean scores of Pack cell transfusion in the control group were 17.79 ± 1.26 and 20.79 ± 0.71 , respectively. Independent samples t-test showed a statistically significant difference between the two groups after the intervention (P < 0.05).

The mean changing fluid box scores of the intervention group participants were 25.68 ± 0.4 and 41.47 ± 0.64 before and after the intervention, respectively. The mean scores of changing fluid box scores in the control group were 24.63 ± 1.23 and 33.57 ± 1.46 , respectively. Independent

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of nursing students in intervention and control groups

Demographic characteristics	Mastery learning intervention	Common learning group	<i>p</i> -value
age	μ ±SD	μ ±SD	<i>P</i> > 0.05*
	23.88±2.06	23.38±1.78	
Mean semester	16.4±1.55	16.01±2.03	<i>P</i> > 0.0
Passed unites	93.25±0.84	93.16±0.57	
Sex			
Female	N =38 (71.96%)	N=39 (73.07%)	<i>P</i> > 0.05
male	N=15 (28.04%)	N=13 (26.93%)	
Native	N=43 (81.13%)	N=42(80.7%)	<i>P</i> > 0.05
Nonnative	<i>N</i> =10 (18.86%)	N=10(19.3%)	

^{*}Qui square

Table 2 Comparison of the Mean scales inter and between the two groups

Clinical skills		Simulation mastery learning group	Common learning group	Statistic t* and p	cohen's d
Suction (0–38)	Before after	26.46±1.51 37.20±0.95	24.9±1.11 27.85±1.30	0.1 t=-2.24 0.00 t =24.52	-0.43 4.78
statistic t^{**} and p		0.000* t=46.13	0.00 t=-24.01		
NG tube feeding (0–38)	Before after	23.46±1.79 37.41± 0.49	23.85±1.30 28.075±1.5	0.35 t =-4.5 0.02 t =23.05	-0.87 4.58
statistic t^{**} and p		0.00 t=-63.43	0.00 t=-14.56		
Packed cell transfusion (0–26)	Before after	17±1.33 25.52±1.05	17.79±1.26 20.79±0.71	0.24 T=-3.23 0.03 T=20.09	-0.62 3.91
Statistic t^{**} and p		0.000* t=-44.43	0.00* t=-14.77		
Changing fluid box (0–42)	Before After	25.68±0.4 41.47±0.64	24.63±1.23 33.57±1.46	0.27 T=-3.2 0.001 T=22.13	-0.62 4.31
Statistic t^{**} and p		0.02* t=-24.2	0.00* T=-22.18		
Total score (0–142)	Before After	101.6±3.69 141.6±3.13	88.17±6.11 109.36±4.71	0.13 T= -4.6 0.00 T=28.9	89 5.63
Statistic t** and p		0.02* t=-26.2	0.00* T=-27.18		

^{*}independent t-test

samples t-test showed a statistically significant difference between the two groups after the intervention (P < 0.05).

Discussion

This study was one of the few studies in Iran conducted on the effect of symbolic mastery learning on the clinical skills in undergraduate nursing students.

The results of this study indicated that the mean scores of skills in the control group were statistically significant before (22.04 \pm 1.22) and after the training program (27.29 \pm 1.17) (P < 0.05). In addition, the mean scores of skills in the intervention group were statistically significant before (25.4 \pm 1.27) and after the intervention (35.4 \pm 0.46) (p < 0.05). This study showed that mastery learning was more effective in achieving clinical skills than the traditional method (d=5.6).

Barusk indicated that mastery learning increased the nursing student's knowledge and skills scores for physical examination. Furthermore, he reported that mastery learning promoted the general competency of the students [27].

Tang showed that mastery-learning intervention increased nurses' clinical competencies [28]. Moreover, Schroedl reported that the mastery learning method was useful to identify the professional competence of nursing practice [14]. Extensive research evidence shows that mastery learning can have positive effects on student achievement. In addition, Amiruddin (2015) pointed to the positive effects of mastery learning that can help students increase their efforts and ultimately perform academic tasks better [29].

This result was in line with the results of the present study. Contrary to other teaching methods, this method helps the instructor know the deficiencies of the students, and the students know that they have enough time to learn the skills [30]. Educators tried to teach the students the knowledge and skills required for competent nurses. Moreover, in this teaching method, instructors can determine students' learning needs [31]. According to this study, the instructor identified students' learning problems in each intervention stage and retested them. In addition, students who initially fail to

^{**}paired t-test

demonstrate the skill have three more opportunities to take and pass the course.

Repeated assessments of students at given intervals improved the quality of education, and the students were active in the learning process [27]. In this study, acquiring competency was based on a skills scale.

The instructors in this study also considered this approach time-consuming. They believed that this approach was challenging with many nursing students and the limitations of the laboratory facilities. Roberts et al. indicated that this approach was time-consuming due to the organization of various tests and the high volume of nursing education contents [32].

Mohd Hasril concluded that mastery learning strategies were significantly associated with increased learning in vocational training compared with traditional mastery models. Trainees mentioned that those who received faster feedbacks were more successful [29]. In this study, the trainees received their feedback immediately after each skill. The nursing students who received feedback could identify their deficiencies. Applying mastery learning methods is useful in clinical settings to empower nursing students, and mastery learning is considered a new paradigm in medical education [9]. In addition, the students acquired high-quality skills because of giving feedback along the teaching process. According to the experiences of instructors, some students have anxiety when they receive feedback. Thus, scientific and psychological support to the students improves their clinical skills. Evaluating this model and examining its strengths and weaknesses predisposed different students to apply it in various educational settings.

Limitation

This study was done only in the nursing department of Kerman University of Medical Science so that the generalizability of the study data was limited to some extent. Because the study method was time-consuming, the effect of the mastery learning method on the practical course was studied. It is suggested that the mastery learning method be evaluated on both theoretical and practical courses.

The results indicated that the mastery learning model had more beneficial effects than the traditional method. Furthermore, our study showed that this model offered rich and in-depth learning opportunities for students. These results, therefore, can encourage nursing authorities to continue their training and development in the research methodology.

In addition, this study would be fruitful for future research to examine the effect of mastery learning on selfesteem, satisfaction, and competency of students.

Conclusion

The study results showed that the implementation of the mastery learning method was more effective in training clinical skills in BSc students. In addition, the findings indicated that students in the mastery learning model group achieved higher grades in clinical skills than those who used the traditional method. In addition, the quality of learning improved in undergraduate nursing students in the simulation-based mastery learning group. Furthermore, it is a flexible and successful approach and enhances students' skills.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to sincerely thank all student nurses who participated in the study.

Authors' contributions

This manuscript is the consequence of the collaboration of all the authors. Author MN designed the study, wrote the study proposal, and conducted data collection and analysis. The author BB analyzed the data, and the Author RM wrote the final draft of the manuscript, prepared tables, and submitted the document to the journal. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was financially supported by Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Iran. The grant number was 930241. The funding of the study was used in the collection and analysis of data.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kerman University of Medical Sciences (IR KMUREC930241). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant. This study was performed on the fourth-year nursing students during fall and spring 2018–2019.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Nursing Research Center, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran. ²Department of medical- surgical nursing, Razi faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran. ³Department of pediatrics and neonatal intensive nursing, Razi Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran.

Received: 15 May 2020 Accepted: 26 December 2020 Published online: 06 January 2021

References

- Ironside PM, McNelis AM, Ebright P. Clinical education in nursing: rethinking learning in practice settings. Nurs Outlook. 2014;62(3):185–91.
- Oermann MH, Shellenbarger T, Gaberson K. Clinical teaching strategies in nursing: springer publishing company; 2017.
- Anema M, McCoy J. Competency based nursing education: guide to achieving outstanding learner outcomes: springer publishing company; 2009.
- Alzayyat A, Al-Gamal E. A review of the literature regarding stress among nursing students during their clinical education. Int Nurs Rev. 2014;61(3): 406–15.
- McGaghie WC, Harris IB. Learning theory foundations of simulation-based mastery learning. Simul Healthc. 2018;13(3S):S15–20.

- Carraccio C, Englander R, Van Melle E, Ten Cate O, Lockyer J, Chan M-K, Frank JR, Snell LS, Collaborators IC-BME. Advancing competency-based medical education: a charter for clinician–educators. Acad Med. 2016;91(5):645–9.
- Esmaeili M, Cheraghi MA, Salsali M, Ghiyasvandian S. Nursing students' expectations regarding effective clinical education: a qualitative study. Int J Nurs Pract. 2014;20(5):460–7.
- Toledo S, Dubas JM. A learner-centered grading method focused on reaching proficiency with course learning outcomes. J Chem Educ. 2017; 94(8):1043–50.
- McGaghie WC. Mastery learning: it is time for medical education to join the 21st century. Acad Med. 2015;90(11):1438–41.
- Sharma RK, Szmuilowicz E, Ogunseitan A, Jones SF, Montalvo JA, O'Leary KJ, Wayne DB. Evaluation of a mastery learning intervention on hospitalists' code status discussion skills. J Pain Symptom Manag. 2017;53(6):1066–70.
- Reed T, Pirotte M, McHugh M, Oh L, Lovett S, Hoyt AE, Quinones D, Adams W, Gruener G, McGaghie WC. Simulation-based mastery learning improves medical student performance and retention of core clinical skills. Simul Healthc. 2016;11(3):173–80.
- 12. Slavin RE. Mastery learning reconsidered. Rev Educ Res. 1987;57(2):175–213.
- Elaldı Ş. The effect of mastery learning model with reflective thinking activities on medical students' academic achievement: an experimental study. J Educ Train Stud. 2016;4(5):30–40.
- Schroedl C, McMahon GT, McGaghie WC. Mastery Learning, Continuing Professional Education, and Maintenance of Certification. In: Comprehensive Healthcare Simulation: Mastery Learning in Health Professions Education. Cham: Springer; 2020. p. 331–49.
- McGaghie WC, Issenberg SB, Barsuk JH, Wayne DB. A critical review of simulation-based mastery learning with translational outcomes. Med Educ. 2014;48(4):375–85.
- Holmboe ES, Salzman DH, Goldstein JL, McGaghie WC. Mastery Learning, Milestones, and Entrustable Professional Activities. In: Comprehensive Healthcare Simulation: Mastery Learning in Health Professions Education. Cham: Springer; 2020. p. 311–30.
- Lipsky MS, Cone CJ, Watson S, Lawrence PT, Lutfiyya MN. Mastery learning in a bachelor's of nursing program: the Roseman University of Health Sciences experience. BMC Nurs. 2019;18(1):52.
- Griswold-Theodorson S, Ponnuru S, Dong C, Szyld D, Reed T, McGaghie WC. Beyond the simulation laboratory: a realist synthesis review of clinical outcomes of simulation-based mastery learning. Acad Med. 2015;90(11): 1553–60.
- Wayne DB, Siddall VJ, Butter J, Fudala MJ, Wade LD, Feinglass J, McGaghie WC. A longitudinal study of internal medicine residents' retention of advanced cardiac life support skills. Acad Med. 2006;81(10):S9–S12.
- Schroedl CJ, Frogameni A, Barsuk JH, Cohen ER, Sivarajan L, Wayne DB. Simulation-based Mastery Learning Improves Resident Skill Managing Mechanical Ventilators. ATS Scholar. 2020.
- Dorri S, Hakimi H. The effect of mastery learning model for suction and oxygen therapy skills in nursing students. Res Med Educ. 2017;9(4):19–0.
- McGaghie WC, Wayne DB, Barsuk JH. Translational Science and Healthcare Quality and Safety Improvement from Mastery Learning. In: Comprehensive Healthcare Simulation: Mastery Learning in Health Professions Education. Cham: Springer. 2020. p. 289–307.
- 23. FA PG, Salik I: Simulation training and skill assessment in critical care. 2019.
- Roh YS, Lim EJ, Issenberg SB. Effects of an integrated simulation-based resuscitation skills training with clinical practicum on mastery learning and self-efficacy in nursing students. Collegian. 2016;23(1):53–9.
- Bjørk IT, Berntsen K, Brynildsen G, Hestetun M. Nursing students' perceptions of their clinical learning environment in placements outside traditional hospital settings. J Clin Nurs. 2014;23(19–20):2958–67.
- 26. Lynn P. Taylor's clinical nursing skills: a nursing process approach: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2018.
- Barsuk JH, Cohen ER, Wayne DB, Siddall VJ, McGaghie WC. Developing a simulation-based mastery learning curriculum: lessons from 11 years of advanced cardiac life support. Simul Healthc. 2016;11(1):52–9.
- Tang WE, Dong L. Applying mastery learning in a clinical skills training program for primary care nurses. J Contin Educ Nurs. 2013;44(12):535–41.
- Amiruddin MH, Zainudin FL. The effects of a mastery learning strategy on knowledge acquisition among aboriginal students: an experimental approach. Int J Vocational Educ Train Res. 2015;1(2):22–6.
- Hattie J. The applicability of visible learning to higher education. Scholarsh Teach Learn Psychol. 2015;1(1):79.

- Tafazzoli M, Fakari FR, Ramazanzadeh M, Sarli A. The relationship between critical thinking dispositions and academic achievement in Iranian midwifery students. Nurs Pract Today. 2016;2(3):88–93.
- 32. Roberts DS, Ingram RR, Flack SA, Hayes RJ. Implementation of mastery learning in nursing education. J Nurs Educ. 2013;52(4):234–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

